SIEMENS

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SERIAL NO.:

10/797,452

CONFIRMATION NO.

4438

APPLICANT:

Baghdadi et al.

FILED:

March 10, 2004

GROUP ART UNIT:

3673

EXAMINER:

Patel, Vishal A.

ATTORNEY DOCKET

2004P03672US

NO.:

FOR:

Seal for a Turbine Engine

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST TO WITHDRAW THE ASSESSMENT OF NON-COMPLIANCE

Sir:

On September 24, 2007, the Examiner indicated that a Revised Appeal Brief filed on June 29, 2007 was non-compliant and indicates that the time period set by the Office Action mailed on June 6, 2007 is still running. The Applicant hereby submits that a Second Revised Appeal Brief responsive to the second Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief mailed on June 6, 2007 was filed on July 6, 2007; a copy of which is re-submitted herewith.

Serial No. 10/797,452

Atty. Doc. No. 2004P03672US

Also attached hereto is a declaration from the person who executed the Facsimile Cover Sheet for the Second Revised Appeal Brief, Barbara Quinn, confirming that she personally transmitted the responsive materials on the July 6, 2007 date indicated by the Facsimile Cover Sheet.

In light of the above, the Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Examiner's assertion of non-compliance and presumes no fee is required. However, the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees due in connection with this reconsideration paper, including the fees specified in 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 (c), 1.17(a)(1) and 1.20(d), or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 19-2179.

Dated: 10/8/07

31/

Erik C. Swanson

Respectfully submitt

Registration No. 40,194

(407) 736-5602

Siemens Corporation Intellectual Property Department 170 Wood Avenue South Iselin, New Jersey 08830

SIEMENS

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SERIAL NO.:

10/797,452

CONFIRMATION NO.

4438

APPLICANT:

Baghdadi et al.

FILED:

March 10, 2004

GROUP ART UNIT:

3673

EXAMINER:

Patel, Vishal A.

ATTORNEY DOCKET

2004P03672US

NO.:

FOR:

Seal for a Turbine Engine

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DECLARATION OF BARBARA QUINN IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST TO WITHDRAW THE ASSESSMENT OF NON-COMPLIANCE

Sir:

- I, Barbara Quinn, do hereby declare as follows:
- 1. I am employed as an Intellectual Property Specialist. Acting in that capacity I prepare and file documents on behalf of Siemens Power Generation, Inc.(formerly known as Siemens Westinghouse Power Corp) and other Siemens operating companies. I assist patent attorneys Erik C. Swanson and John P. Musone, who both are of record for the above-referenced patent application.
- 2. On July 6, 2007, prior to personally facsimile filing the Second Revised Appeal Brief signed by John P. Musone on July 6, 2007, I signed the Certificate of Transmission stating "I

Serial No. 10/797,452

Atty. Doc. No. 2004P03672US

hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark

Office on July 6, 2007." I then personally facsimile filed the Second Revised Appeal Brief to the

attention of Vishal A. Patel at 571-273-8300 on July 6, 2007. A Communication Result Report

printed from our fax machine indicating that the 26 page transmission was successfully sent to the

USPTO. We received back an "Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission" from the USPTO

confirming receipt of 9 pages, so I marked the Facsimile Cover Sheet with the words "Re-Sent

due to Transmission error" and re-faxed the documents. Again a Communication Result Report

printed from our fax machine indicating that the second 26 page transmission was successfully

sent to the USPTO. At this time we received back an "Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission" from

the USPTO confirming receipt of 43 pages (beginning with page 10 of 26) which indicated that

the remaining pages of the first facsimile sent, as well as those in the second facsimile sent, had

been received (a total of 52 pages received by the USPTO).

3. I declare that all statements, made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all

statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these

statements were made with knowledge that willful, false statements and the like so made are

punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States

Code and that such willful, false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or

patent issuing therefrom.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 10/8/07

2:51PM) * COMMUNICATION RESULT REPORT (JUL. 6. 26.2)

FAX HEADER 2:

6. 2007 2:25PM

TRANSMITTED/STORED : JUL. 6
FILE MODE OPTION FILE MODE

ADDRESS

RESULT

PAGE

7256 MEMORY TX

USPTO

OK

26/26

ERROR HANG UP OR LINE FAIL NO ANSWER

E-2) BUSY E-4) NO FACSIMILE CONNECTION

SIEMENS

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

In the UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Sam Baghdadi

Application No. 10/797,452

Atty. Docket No: 2004P03672US

Filed: 03/10/2004

Title: **SEAL FOR A TURBINE ENGINE**

Examiner: Vishal A. Patel

Art Unit: 3673

FACSIMILE ATTN TO: Vishal A. Patel

FAX NO.: 571-273-8300

SECOND REVISED APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on

Barbara Quinn

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

This facsimile cover sheet which includes a Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8 (1 pg) PTO/SB/21 Transmittal (1 pg)

Response to Notification of Noncompliant appeal Brief bearing "date mailed" of 06/06/2007 (24 pgs)

Number of pages being transmitted (including this cover sheet):

The information contained in this facsimile message may contain attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us. Thank you.

Siemens Corporation

Tel: 407-736-2472 FAX: 407-736-6440 ro-reply fax to 407736E 0 COMPANY:



Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission

TO:

Fax Sender at 4077366440

Fax Information

Date Received:

7/6/2007 2:12:31 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Total Pages: 9 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

======>

.JL. 6. 2007 2:26°N 497-736-6440

NC. 7255 F.

SIEMENS

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

In the UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Sam Baghdadi

Application No. 10/797,452

Atty. Docket No: 2004P03672US

Filed: 03/10/2004

Title: SEAL FOR A TURBINE ENGINE

Examiner: Vishal A. Patel

Art Unit: 3673

FACSIMILE ATTN TO: Vishal A. Patel

FAX NO.: 571-273-8300

SECOND REVISED APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

My 6, 2007.

Barbara Suran

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

This facsimile cover sheet which includes a Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.6 (1 pg) PTO/SB/21 Transmittal (1 pg)

Response to Notification of Noncompliant appeal Brief bearing "date mailed" of 06/06/2007 (24 pgs)

Number of pages being transmitted (including this cover sheet): 26 pgs

The information contained in this facaintile message may contain attenue privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or easity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipiont, or the employee or agent respensible to deliver it to the intended recipiont, you are hereby notified bal any discentiation, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly problibited. If you have received this communication in error, please inmediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us. Thenk you.

Siemens Corporation

Logal and Intellectual Property Department

4400 Alafaya Trail

FAX: 407-738-8440

FACE 1/9 * RCVD AT 7/8/2007 7:17:31 PM (Eastern Dayligh), Time] / SVR; USPTO-EFXRF-6/77 * DMS; 27/3/200 * CSiQue77368446 * DURATION (num-is):07-3

PAGE

COMMU...CATION RESULT REPORT (JUL. 6.26...

2:58PM)

407-736-6440 FAX HEADER 1: FAX HEADER 2:

7259 MEMORY TX

TRANSMITTED/STORED : JUL. 6. 2007 2:46PM FILE MODE OPTION **ADDRESS** RESULT

26/26 OK

OR LINE FAIL

E-2) BUSY E-4) NO FACSIMILE CONNECTION

Re-seat due to Transmission error.

USPTO

SIEMENS

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

In the UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Sam Baghdadi

Application No. 10/797,452

Attv. Docket No: 2004P03672US

Filed: 03/10/2004

Title: **SEAL FOR A TURBINE ENGINE**

Examiner: Vishal A. Patel

Art Unit: 3673 FAX NO.: 571-273-8300

FACSIMILE ATTN TO: Vishal A. Patel

SECOND REVISED APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on

Barbara Quinn
Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

This facsimile cover sheet which includes a Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8 (1 pg) PTO/SB/21 Transmittal (1 pg)

Response to Notification of Noncompliant appeal Brief bearing "date mailed" of 06/06/2007 (24 pgs)

Number of pages being transmitted (including this cover sheet): 26 pgs

The information contained in this facsimile message may contain attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us. Thank you.

Siemens Corporation

Tel: 407-736-2472 FAX: 407-736-6440



Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 4077366440

Fax Information Date Received:

Total Pages:

7/6/2007 2:32:29 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

43 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received Cover Page

======>

JU., 6. 2007 2:4624 407-736-6440

NO. 7256 F. 19/26

U.S. Serial No. 10/797,452 SECOND REVISED Appeal Brief Dated July 6, 2007

positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis (18) of the rotatable body (12) in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis (18) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The plurality of blades (16) coupled in the rotatable body (12) are positioned to direct fluids from the low pressure gas region (28) toward the high pressure gas region (30) to limit leakage of fluids from the high pressure gas region (30) proximate to the at least one row of blades (20) coupled to the stationary body (14) to the low pressure gas region (28) proximate to the at least one row of blades (16) coupled to the rotatable body (12) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The claimed invention is described in the specification at pages 3 and 4 and shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Claim 11 is directed to a seal (10) formed from a plurality of blades (16) extending radially from a rotatable body (12) and positioned generally nonparallel to a rotational axis (18) of the rotatable body (12), wherein the plurality of blades (16) generally form at least one row of blades and a plurality of blades (20) extending radially from a stationary body (14) towards the rotatable body (12) and positioned nonparallel to the rotational axis (18) of the rotatable body (12), wherein the plurality of blades (20) form at least one row of blades; a high pressure gas region (30) in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14) and opposite to the plurality of blades (16) extending radially from the rotatable body (12) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The seal (10) may include a low pressure gas region (28) in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades (16) extending radially from the rotatable body (12) and

8

PAGE 143 * ZCVD AT ZIDZDOT 2:32:29 PM [Distern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-7/19 * DNB: 2738300 * CBID:4077351440 * DURATION (mm-s-s): 17-51

Re-sent due to Trans ission error.

SIEMENS

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

In the UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: Sam Baghdadi

Application No. 10/797,452

Atty. Docket No: 2004P03672US

Filed: 03/10/2004

Title: SEAL FOR A TURBINE ENGINE

Examiner: Vishal A. Patel

Art Unit: 3673

FACSIMILE ATTN TO: Vishal A. Patel

FAX NO.: 571-273-8300

Tel: 407-736-2472

FAX: 407-736-6440

SECOND REVISED APPEAL BRIEF

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313

Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office on Juy 6, 2007.

Barbara Quinn

Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate

Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must identify each submitted paper.

This facsimile cover sheet which includes a Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8 (1 pg) PTO/SB/21 Transmittal (1 pg)

Response to Notification of Noncompliant appeal Brief bearing "date mailed" of 06/06/2007 (24 pgs)

Number of pages being transmitted (including this cover sheet): 26 pgs

The information contained in this facsimile message may contain attorney privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify us by telephone, and return the original message to us. Thank you.

PTO/SB/21 (09-04)
Appro or use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

10/797,452

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Application Number

	ITTAI						
TRANSMITTAL FORM			Filing Date		March 10, 2004		
ron	¥i		First Named Inven	tor	Sam Bag	hdadi	
			Art Unit		3673		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name		Vishal A. Patel		
Total Number of Pages in This Submissi		26	Attorney Docket N	umber	2004P036	672US26	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form		Drawing(s	5)		After Allo	owance Communication to TC	
Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers				Communication to Board als and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition				Communication to TC Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final	*********	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprieta	ary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status L	etter	
Extension of Time Reques	st 🔲	Terminal Disclaimer			Other E	nclosure(s) tentify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund			Facsimile Cover Sheet including a certificate of transmission under 37 CFR 1.8		
		CD, Number of CD(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement		Landscape Table on CD					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks Second Revised Appeal Brief in response to Notification of					
Reply to Missing Parts/		Non-Compliant Apeal Brief bearing "date mailed" of 06/06/2007 from Everett R. Williams (Patent Appeals Specialist).					
Incomplete Application							
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1,53							
	SIGNATU	JRE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, O	R AGENT		
Firm	SIE	EMENS					
Signature		Maca					
Printed Name		John P. Musone					
Date		July 6, 2007 Reg. No.			44,961		
	CE	RTIFICA	TE OF TRANSMISS	ION/MAI	LING		
I hereby certify that this corres Service with sufficient posta Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 o	ge as first cla	ıss mail ir	simile transmitted to the an envelope addres	e USPTO sed to: C	or deposit ed ommissioner	with the United States Postal for Patents, P.O. Box 1450,	
Signature	Saber	Zu	رب				
Typed or printed name	Barbara Quinn Date July 6, 2007			July 6, 2007			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

SERIAL NO.:

10/797,452

CONFIRMATION NO.

4438

APPLICANT:

Baghdadi et al.

FILED:

February 9, 2004

GROUP ART UNIT:

3673

EXAMINER:

Patel, Vishal A.

ATTORNEY

2004P03672US

DOCKET NO.:

CUSTOMER NO.:

FOR:

Seal for a Turbine Engine

Mail Stop Appeal Brief - Patents Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DATE: July 6, 2007

SECOND REVISED APPEAL BRIEF

Sir:

The Notification of Appeal was filed on February 26, 2007. A Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief was mailed June 6, 2007 by the Primary Examiner which was responded to via the Revised Appeal Brief filed on June 29, 2007. A second Notice of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief was separately mailed June 6, 2007 by the Patent Appeals Specialist which is responded to via the instant Second Revised Appeal Brief.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REAL PARTY IN INTEREST	Page 3
II.	RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES	Page 4
III.	STATUS OF CLAIMS	Page 5
IV.	STATUS OF AMENDMENTS	Page 6
V.	SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER	Page 7
VI.	GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL	Page 10
VII.	ARGUMENTS	Page 11
VIII.	CLAIMS APPENDIX	Page 16
IX.	EVIDENCE APPENDIX	Page 22
Y	RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX	Page 23

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST

The real party in interest in connection with the above identified patent application is Siemens Power Generation, Inc., the assignee of record.

II. RELATED APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

There exist no related appeals or interferences in connection with the above-identified patent application.

III. STATUS OF CLAIMS

Claims 1-6, 9-16 and 19-20 were pending in this application. Claims 7, 8, 17 and 18 have been previously canceled without prejudice. Claims 1-6, 9-16 and 19-20 are being appealed.

IV. STATUS OF AMENDMENTS

No amendments were filed after issuance of the final office action dated November 21, 2006. All previous amendments have been entered.

V. SUMMARY OF CLAIMED SUBJECT MATTER

Claim 1 is directed to a turbine engine having a seal (10) configured to form a seal between low and high pressure regions (see e.g. page 3 lines 7-22). The seal (10) is formed from a plurality of blades (16) extending radially from a rotatable body (12) and generally forming at least one row of blades and a plurality of blades (20) extending radially from a stationary body (14) towards the rotatable body (12) and generally forming at least one row of blades (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 17). The seal (10) may include a high pressure gas region (30) in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14) and opposite to the plurality of blades (16) extending radially from the rotatable body (12) and a low pressure gas region (28) in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades (16) extending radially from the rotatable body (12) and opposite to the plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14), wherein the low pressure region (28) has a pressure less than the high pressure region (30) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 24). The plurality of blades (16) extending from the rotatable body (12) and the plurality of blades (20) extending from the stationary body (14) form the seal between the high pressure gas region (30) and the low pressure gas region (28) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14) are positioned proximate to the plurality of blades (16) extending from the rotatable body (12) and are nonparallel with the plurality of blades (16) extending from the rotatable body (12) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14) are {WP410546;1}

positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis (18) of the rotatable body (12) in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis (18) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The plurality of blades (16) coupled to the rotatable body (12) are positioned to direct fluids from the low pressure gas region (28) toward the high pressure gas region (30) to limit leakage of fluids from the high pressure gas region (30) proximate to the at least one row of blades (20) coupled to the stationary body (14) to the low pressure gas region (28) proximate to the at least one row of blades (16) coupled to the rotatable body (12) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The claimed invention is described in the specification at pages 3 and 4 and shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Claim 11 is directed to a seal (10) formed from a plurality of blades (16) extending radially from a rotatable body (12) and positioned generally nonparallel to a rotational axis (18) of the rotatable body (12), wherein the plurality of blades (16) generally form at least one row of blades and a plurality of blades (20) extending radially from a stationary body (14) towards the rotatable body (12) and positioned nonparallel to the rotational axis (18) of the rotatable body (12), wherein the plurality of blades (20) form at least one row of blades; a high pressure gas region (30) in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14) and opposite to the plurality of blades (16) extending radially from the rotatable body (12) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The seal (10) may include a low pressure gas region (28) in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades (16) extending radially from the rotatable body (12) and (WP410546:1)

opposite to the plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14), wherein the low pressure region (28) has a pressure less than the high pressure region (30). The plurality of blades (16) extending from the rotatable body (12) and the plurality of blades (20) extending from the stationary body (14) form the seal between the high pressure gas region (30) and the low pressure gas region (28) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14) are positioned proximate to the plurality of blades (16) extending from the rotatable body (12) and are nonparallel with the plurality of blades (16) extending from the rotatable body (12), and the plurality of blades (20) extending radially from the stationary body (14) are positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis (18) of the rotatable body (12) in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis (18) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The plurality of blades (18) coupled to the rotatable body (12) are positioned to direct fluids from the low pressure gas region (28) toward the high pressure gas region (30) to limit leakage of fluids from the high pressure gas region (30) proximate to the at least one row of blades (20) coupled to the stationary body (14) to the low pressure gas region (28) proximate to the at least one row of blades (16) coupled to the rotatable body (12) (see e.g. page 3 line 23 – page 4 line 29). The claimed invention is described in the specification at pages 3 and 4 and shown in Figures 1 and 2.

VI. GROUNDS OF REJECTION TO BE REVIEWED ON APPEAL

The following grounds of rejection are requested to be reviewed on appeal. In particular, first, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-4, 9-11, 13-14 and 19-20 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 3,575,523 to *Gross* in view of United States Patent No. 6,027,306 to *Bunker*, and further in view of United States Patent No. 1,689,735 to *Losel*. Second, the Examiner rejected claims 2, 5-6, 12 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Gross*, *Bunker* and *Losel* and further in view of United States Patent No. 4,571,937 to *Albers*.

VII. ARGUMENTS

I. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 1, 3-4, 9-11, 13-14, AND 19-20 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 1, 3-4, 9-11, 13-14, and 19-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 3,575,532 to *Gross* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,027,306 to *Bunker*, further in view of U.S. Patent No. 1,689,735 to *Lösel*. The Examiner stated that *Gross* and *Bunker* disclose the claimed invention but fail to disclose that the plurality of blades extending from the stationary body are positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body. The Examiner stated that *Lösel* discloses a stationary member having a plurality of blades that are angled at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis of a shaft. The Examiner concluded that it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the plurality of blades of *Gross* and *Bunker* angled at an acute angle as taught by *Lösel* to provide an effective labyrinth seal and to prolong the life of the seal.

As shown in Figure 2 and claimed in independent claims 1 and 11, the blades extending from the stationary body extend <u>radially</u> from the stationary body <u>and</u> at an <u>acute</u> <u>angle</u>. In particular, claims 1 and 11 state "<u>a plurality of blades extending radially from a stationary body</u> towards the rotatable body and generally forming at least one row of blades... and <u>the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body</u> are <u>positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis</u> of the rotatable body in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis . . ." (emphasis added). Thus, the claimed

blades extend radially toward the rotational body and are positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis. In sharp contrast, Lösel does not disclose a plurality of blades forming a single row of blades. Rather, Lösel discloses a plurality of single blades that each form continuous rings. Furthermore, Lösel does not disclose blades that extend radially toward a rotatable body and are positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis. Instead, the continuous rings disclosed in Lösel do not extend radially toward the rotatable body. Rather, the continuous rings of Lösel extend at an acute angle relative to a radial axis extending from the rotational axis, as shown in Figure 2 of Lösel. In contrast, the claimed invention is directed to blades the project radially and at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis. Such a configuration, as shown in Figure 2 and claimed, is completely different than the configuration disclosed in Lösel. Thus, the blades disclosed in Lösel simply do not disclose the claimed structure, which is "the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis . . . " (emphasis added).

Another way of describing the difference between the claimed invention and *Lösel* is that the intersection of the blade and the stationary support structure of *Lösel* is orthogonal to a rotational axis. The blade then extends from the intersection at an acute angle moving away from the base. In contrast, intersections of the claimed blades and the stationary support structures are not positioned orthogonal to the rotational axis; rather, the intersections of the claimed blades are positioned at an acute angle relative to the rotational

axis. The blades of the claimed invention do not extend at an acute angle from the stationary structure. Instead, the blades extend radially. Therefore, claims 1 and 11, and those claims depending therefrom, are patentable, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejection.

II. REJECTION OF CLAIMS 2, 5-6, 12 AND 15-16 UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 103

The Examiner rejected claims 2, 5-6, 12 and 15-16 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Gross*, *Bunker* and *Lösel* as previously applied, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,571,937 to *Albers*. The Examiner stated that *Gross* discloses the invention substantially as claimed but fails to disclose that the blades on the stationary body are annually spaced or formed intermittently and have an angle of about 1-89 degrees. The Examiner stated that *Albers* discloses a plurality of blades on a stationary body and the blades are angled to about 1-89 degrees from a rotational axis. The Examiner concluded that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the blades of *Gross* be segmented annularly or formed intermittently to provide a turbine that has substantially no efficiency losses.

Albers discloses a system for controlling the flow of leakage fluids and cooling air of a rotor proximate to a tip of a rotatable turbine blade. The system includes a plurality of blades extending radially inward from a stationary outer housing. The blades are positioned downstream of exhaust orifices in the tip of a turbine blade to direct cooling fluids exhausted from the turbine blades. The system is configured to redirect cooling fluids and combustion {WP410546;1}

gases in a particular direction for most efficient use in downstream turbine stages. The system of *Albers* is not a seal.

Claims 2, 5-6, 12 and 15-16 depend from claims 1 and 11, which are patentable for the reasons previously set forth. Thus, claims 2, 5-6, 12 and 15-16 are patentable. Furthermore, as discussed in Section II, claims 1 and 11 state that "the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis." Claims 1 and 11 also state that "a low pressure gas region in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades extending radially from [[a]] the rotatable body and opposite to the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body, wherein the low pressure region has a pressure less than the high pressure region." There exists no teaching or suggestion in Albers, Gross or Bunker for the combination of the blades of Albers with Gross or Bunker because the blades of Albers are used to redirect fluids flowing downstream of the turbine blade. Combination of these blades with the configurations disclosed in Gross and Bunker would yield blades on a rotational body with downstream blades on a stationary body for redirecting the downstream flow. The pumping action of the blades on the rotational body would direct fluids upstream and away from the blade of Albers.

In stark contrast, the claimed configuration includes blades extending from a stationary structure, wherein the blades are positioned upstream, between the blade on the rotational body and the high pressure region. Thus, in the claimed configuration, blades on {WP410546;1}

the rotational body direct fluids towards the high pressure region and towards the blades extending from the stationary body. Combination of *Albers*, *Gross* and *Bunker* would not yield the claimed invention because the stationary blades disclosed in *Albers* would not be positioned between the blades extending from the rotational body and the high pressure region. Rather, the stationary blades disclosed in *Albers* would be positioned between the blades extending from the rotational body and the low region. And such a difference would not be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to redesign because no such motivation was known in the art and is not disclosed in *Albers*, *Gross* and *Bunker*. Thus, for at least these reasons, amended claims 2, 5-6, 12 and 15-16 are allowable, and the Examiner is respectfully requested to withdraw the rejection.

VIII. CLAIMS APPENDIX

1. A turbine engine having a seal, comprising:

a plurality of blades extending radially from a rotatable body and generally forming at least one row of blades;

a plurality of blades extending radially from a stationary body towards the rotatable body and generally forming at least one row of blades;

a high pressure gas region in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body and opposite to the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body;

a low pressure gas region in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body and opposite to the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body, wherein the low pressure region has a pressure less than the high pressure region;

wherein the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body and the plurality of blades extending from the stationary body form the seal between the high pressure gas region and the low pressure gas region;

wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are positioned proximate to the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body and are nonparallel with the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body, and the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are positioned at an acute angle relative

to a rotational axis of the rotatable body in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis; and

wherein the plurality of blades coupled to the rotatable body are positioned to direct fluids from the low pressure gas region toward the high pressure gas region to limit leakage of fluids from the high pressure gas region proximate to the at least one row of blades coupled to the stationary body to the low pressure gas region proximate to the at least one row of blades coupled to the rotatable body.

- 2. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 1, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are generally orthogonal to the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body.
- 3. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 1, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body are aligned at an angle of between about 1 degree and about 89 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.
- 4. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 3, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body are aligned at an angle of about 60 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.

- 5. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 1, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are aligned at an angle of between about 1 degree and about 89 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.
- 6. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 5, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are aligned at an angle of about 60 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.
 - 7. (Canceled)
 - 8. (Canceled)
- 9. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 1, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body extend to within about 0.6 millimeters radially from the stationary body.
- 10. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 1, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body extend to within about 0.6 millimeters radially from the rotatable body.
 - 11. A turbine engine having a seal, comprising:

{WP410546;1}

a plurality of blades extending radially from a rotatable body and positioned generally nonparallel to a rotational axis of the rotatable body, wherein the plurality of blades generally form at least one row of blades;

a plurality of blades extending radially from a stationary body towards the rotatable body and positioned nonparallel to the rotational axis of the rotatable body, wherein the plurality of blades form at least one row of blades; a high pressure gas region in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body and opposite to the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body;

a low pressure gas region in the turbine engine that is proximate to the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body and opposite to the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body, wherein the low pressure region has a pressure less than the high pressure region;

wherein the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body and the plurality of blades extending from the stationary body form the seal between the high pressure gas region and the low pressure gas region;

wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are positioned proximate to the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body and are nonparallel with the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body, and the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are positioned at an acute angle relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body in the at least one row of blades that is generally orthogonal to the rotational axis; and

{WP410546;1}

wherein the plurality of blades coupled to the rotatable body are positioned to direct fluids from the low pressure gas region toward the high pressure gas region to limit leakage of fluids from the high pressure gas region proximate to the at least one row of blades coupled to the stationary body to the low pressure gas region proximate to the at least one row of blades coupled to the rotatable body.

- 12. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 11, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are generally orthogonal to the plurality of blades extending from the rotatable body.
- 13. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 11, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body are aligned at an angle of between about 1 degree and about 89 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.
- 14. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 13, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body are aligned at an angle of about 60 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.
- 15. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 11, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are aligned at an angle of between about 1 degree and about 89 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.

{WP410546;1}

- 16. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 15, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body are aligned at an angle of about 60 degrees relative to a rotational axis of the rotatable body.
 - 17. (Canceled)
 - 18. (Canceled)
- 19. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 11, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the rotatable body extend to within about 0.6 millimeters radially from the stationary body.
- 20. The turbine engine having a seal of claim 11, wherein the plurality of blades extending radially from the stationary body extend to within about 0.6 millimeters radially from the rotatable body.

IX. EVIDENCE APPENDIX

None.

X. RELATED PROCEEDINGS APPENDIX

None.

CONCLUSION

For at least the reasons given above, claims 1-6, 9-16, 19 and 20 define patentable subject matter and are thus allowable. The Applicant requests withdrawal of the rejections and allowance of the claims.

Respectfully submitted,

John P. Musone Reg. No. 44,961 SIEMENS CORPORATION 4400 Alafaya Trail Orlando, Florida 33286 (407) 736-6449

Attorney Docket No.: 2004P03672US